



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)
Daniel Skorcz) Date: April 13th, 2005
)
Serial No. 10/700,390) Group Art Unit: 3652
)
Filed: 03 November, 2003) Examiner: Gregory W. Adams
)
For: SWIVELING VALVE OPERATOR)
MOUNTING SYSTEM)
)

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir,

In response to the Examiner's First Office Action dated 12/16/2004 wherein the Examiner has rejected Claims 1-8, the applicant hereby requests further examination and reconsideration of the application based upon the arguments set forth below in the Discussion section.

EXAMINER'S REMARKS

Information disclosure statement

The Examiner states that 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications or other information submitted for consideration by the Office and that MPEP § 601A(1) states "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper". Finally, the Examiner states that unless the references have been cited by the Examiner on for PTO-892, they have not been considered by the Examiner.